

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF LITTLE HALLINGBURY
PARISH COUNCIL, HELD ON TUESDAY 07 APRIL 2026,
BEGINNING AT 20.00, IN THE VILLAGE HALL**

PRESENT: Cllr Robert Wingard (Chairman)
Cllrs Brian Back, John Brothers, Ciora Dillon, Steve Gardiner,
Nicola Marsh, Val McKirdy, Andrew Robinson and Keith Summerford.

ALSO PRESENT: Mrs Urška Sydee (Clerk)
Uttlesford District Council (UDC) Cllrs Geof Driscoll and
Neil Reeve
Essex County Council (ECC) Cllr Susan Barker

26/056. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies had been received from Cllrs Dean Hatt and Sue Meyer;
Uttlesford District Council Cllr Geof Driscoll might be late.
All accepted.

26/057. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None.

26/058. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

None present.

26/059. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard proposed to make an amendment in item 26/054. Overtime & Annual Leave, 5th line 'For this year it was agreed on exceptional basis that the clerk can carry over more than five days of annual leave.' to 'For this year it was agreed that on an exceptional basis the clerk can carry over up to eight days of her remaining annual leave into the next leave year.' The clerk advised that she checked by the tape and the draft minutes reflect what is in the draft minutes. She asked if the Council want to listen to that section of the tape and presented Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard with the transcription of the tape. The clerk advised she now have nine days left of annual leave from tax year 2025 / 2026. Councillors did not agree to amendment.

The minutes of the meeting held on 24 February 2026 were approved as a correct record of the proceedings and signed by the Chairman, Robert Wingard.

26/060. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard reported:

- He was contacted by owner of Morgan Garage if they can use car park for their celebration and if there are other areas where the visitors can use to park cars. He advised them that they can use car park opposite village hall; other locations mentioned were village hall with the field – this has to be checked if the village hall is booked on that day; and the cricket club area – it is likely that it will be used on the day.
- Bus frequency consultation: will be discussed later
- Camps Field development: the planning officer's response was that developers do not think it is appropriate to meet now and that they are happy to meet with the Council after the s106 agreement is finalised. The Council asked for affordable renting homes priority and setting out rules for renting out nine out of 26 affordable homes – the number which was identified in the Housing Needs Survey and the basis laid down in LHNP reserved for people with local connections.

26/061. REPORTS FROM DISTRICT AND COUNTY COUNCILLORS

Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard advised to ECC Cllr Susan Barker that VAS sign is not working and that signs at White Trees School have not been erected yet. She agreed to check and report. She was also asked about the bus consultation: 305 will remain but offer few services from July 2026. The less reliable and fewer services inevitably lead to public not using the bus. Yet developers claim that there is public transport available when putting planning application despite that the service is insufficient. ECC Cllr Susan Barker reported that if the Council wish Highways to install bollards on the verge by opposite George Pub, near Bonningtons, would have to go through the Local Highways Panel and because of financial situation it's unlikely to be done in timely manner.

20:30 ECC Cllr Susan Barker left the meeting

UDC Cllr Geof Driscoll reported that regarding s106 for Camps Field, the Council can put forward nine family names that have local connections and would have priority for the nine affordable houses. UDC Cllr Neil Reeve added that only local connection is needed – it is the same process as for the Pond Field, managed by Housing Association Hastoe. The relevant policy is Uttlesford Housing Allocation Policy, published online. Local Plan: was voted through and now just need to be signed by Minister Local Govt reform: 5 Unitaries, which was UDC's preferred option Social housing: he visited a site and a project to improve energy efficiency performance of 200 homes.

26/062. PLANNING

a) Application decisions (the Council's comments are shown in brackets)

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Site and Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
UTT/25/1542/FUL	London Stansted Airport, Bassingbourn Road, Stansted, CM24 1QW	Awaiting decision

Airfield works comprising two new taxiway links to the existing runway (Rapid Access Taxiway and Rapid Exit Taxiway) to enable continued airfield operations of 274,000 aircraft movements and an increase in passenger throughput from 43

million terminal passengers to up to 51 million terminal passengers, in a twelve-month calendar period
(Objections can be read in minutes pages 639 – 641)

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Site and Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
UTT/25/2181/OP	Land at Camps Field, Lower Road, Little Hallingbury	Awaiting decision
Outline planning application, with all matters reserved (except for principal access), for the demolition of existing structures and erection of up to 59 dwellings, including affordable housing, associated green and hard infrastructure, public open space, biodiversity enhancements, and principal access off Lower Road.		

(Objections can be read in minutes pages 608 – 635, 645 – 669 and 683 - 685)

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Site and Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
UTT/25/3342/PIP	The Rectory, Wrights Green Lane, Little Hallingbury	Unknown

Permission in Principle for Demolition of existing dwelling, extensions, hardstanding, outbuildings, compound and detached garage, and replacement with up to six dwellings of mixed sizes, including custom build plots, with associated access, parking and landscaping.

(The proposal involves the demolition of an existing dwelling and its replacement with six dwellings, representing a significant intensification of development within the Green Belt. The site does not constitute limited infilling, as it is not bounded by continuous development and instead forms open green space at the edge of the village built envelope.

Contrary to the applicant's statement, the redevelopment of this site would have a significantly greater impact on the Green Belt than the existing development. The site is not derelict and contains a dwelling that has been continuously occupied.

While the replacement of the existing dwelling could be considered acceptable in principle, the proposed layout of six dwellings constitutes overdevelopment. Should development be permitted, a reduced number of dwellings would lessen the harm to the Green Belt.

LHPC request this application to be refused.)

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Site and Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
UTT/25/3340/HHF	Barncroft, Sawbridgeworth Road, Little Hallingbury, Bishop's Stortford, Hertfordshire, CM22 7QU	Approved

Demolition of existing outbuilding and construction of a replacement outbuilding. Erection of a single-storey side extension with rooflights. Minor alterations to the existing house fenestration.

(No objections as long as conditions are made that it must not be occupied independently.)

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Site and Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
UTT/26/0131/OP	Land at Latchmore End, Latchmore Bank, Little Hallingbury, Hertfordshire	Awaiting decision

Outline planning application with all matters reserved except access for the erection of two dwellings and associated works

(Objections:

The application site lies within the Green Belt on a garden plot at the edge of the village. Although a dwelling already exists within the curtilage, the erection of two additional dwellings would represent an unjustified intensification of development in a sensitive edge-of-settlement location and would conflict with both national and development plan policy.

Firstly, the proposal would constitute inappropriate development in the Green Belt when assessed against the National Planning Policy Framework. The scheme for two additional dwellings does not represent “limited infilling in villages” but instead the subdivision of a large, spacious plot at the settlement edge, and extends built form further into the countryside, reducing openness. The presence of an existing dwelling does not alter the fundamental Green Belt assessment; the key issue is whether the proposal preserves openness and avoids encroachment, which in this case it would fail to do.

In terms of the Uttlesford Local Plan 2021 -2041, the proposal conflicts with the overarching spatial strategy set out in Core Policy 2 (Meeting Our Housing Needs), which directs growth to the most sustainable locations and expects only limited, appropriate development within villages situated in Green Belt. As Little Hallingbury is washed over by the Green Belt and is not required to allocate housing through its Neighbourhood Plan, speculative residential development on unallocated garden land in Green Belt would undermine this plan-led approach. Given the local bus services are subject to review with a likely reduction in services the sustainability of this location is also in question.

The proposal would also conflict with Development Policy DP4 (Development in the Countryside / Retaining Settlement Character and Openness), which seeks to protect the rural character of villages and resist development that would extend the visual impression of built form into the countryside. Subdivision of this large garden plot at the gateway to the Village would erode the spacious edge-of-settlement character and create a more suburban form of development that is out of keeping with the existing settlement pattern.

Furthermore, the scheme would be contrary to Core Policy 41 (Landscape Character), which requires development to conserve and enhance local landscape character. The garden currently contributes to the soft, verdant edge of the village and its transition to open countryside; its development would urbanise this edge and diminish the rural setting of the settlement.

The proposal also conflicts with Core Policy 39 (Green and Blue Infrastructure), which promotes a landscape-led approach to development and the retention of green spaces that contribute to openness, biodiversity and the wider countryside network. The loss of a large garden plot in this location would undermine these objectives.

This is a verdant green space and existing trees and hedgerows should be protected. It is additionally material that, due to its Green Belt designation, Little Hallingbury is not required to identify housing allocations in its Neighbourhood Plan which is now at Regulation 16 Consultation. Allowing ad hoc development on large garden plots would circumvent the neighbourhood planning process and could set a harmful precedent for incremental erosion of Green Belt openness through cumulative plot subdivision.

In summary, the proposal is contrary to:

- Core Policy 2 – Meeting Our Housing Needs (spatial strategy)
- Development Policy DP4 – Retaining settlement character and openness in the countryside
- Core Policy 41 – Landscape Character
- Core Policy 39 – Green and Blue Infrastructure

- National Green Belt policy within the NPPF

For these reasons, the proposal represents inappropriate development in the Green Belt, would harm openness and rural character at this gateway edge to the Village, and conflicts with both national policy and the emerging Uttlesford Local Plan 2021–2041. Planning permission should therefore be refused.)

b) Applications to be dealt with at this meeting:

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Site and Development</u>
UTT/26/0258/OP	Land adjacent Portlands

Outline application with all matters reserved except access for construction of 3no. new build houses

Objections:

- Inappropriate development within the Green Belt with no very special circumstances
- Loss of openness and urbanisation of a rural parcel of land
- Access arrangements are unclear, and not demonstrated to be safe or deliverable
- Use of a public footpath as a vehicular access route
- Risk that the footpath would become a fenced corridor between housing
- Conflict with the emerging Uttlesford Local Plan, Design Code and Essex Design Guide principles

Requested Outcome

The Parish Council requests that the planning authority refuse the application due to its conflict with national policy, emerging local plan, emerging LH Neighbourhood Plan and the potential harm to the Green Belt, rural landscape, and Public Rights of Way network.

1. Inappropriate Development in the Green Belt

The application site lies within designated Green Belt. The proposal for three new dwellings constitutes inappropriate development, which is considered harmful, by definition under national planning policy unless “very special circumstances” are clearly demonstrated.

No such circumstances have been provided in the application.

The development would introduce permanent built form, domestic curtilage, lighting and vehicle movements into an undeveloped rural parcel of land. This would reduce the openness of the Green Belt and urbanise the character of the area.

The Uttlesford Green Belt Study Update (2024) confirms that the Council does not intend to release Green Belt land and has identified no exceptional circumstances for doing so. The emerging Local Plan therefore maintains the existing Green Belt protections.

Relevant policy:

- National Planning Policy Framework (paras 147–151)
- Emerging Uttlesford Local Plan – Core Policy 41 (Landscape Character)
- Emerging Uttlesford Local Plan – Development Policy 1 (New Dwellings in the Countryside)

The proposal therefore conflicts with both national and emerging local policy intended to protect the Green Belt and rural landscape.

2. Lawful and Safe Access Has Not Been Demonstrated

For planning permission to be granted, the applicant must demonstrate:

1. A lawful means of access
2. A safe means of access
3. A deliverable means of access

The submitted documents do not adequately demonstrate any of these requirements.

The proposed access route uses Port Lane, which is recorded in the National Street Gazetteer as unadopted and not maintained at public expense. The section leading to the site is recorded as a public footpath rather than a vehicular highway. The

narrow lane would present difficulties, especially when turning the corner to access the proposed third property driveway.

Because the route is a Public Right of Way, the applicant must demonstrate:

- A separate private vehicular right of way
- That vehicular use will not interfere with public footpath rights
- That the arrangement is acceptable to the Highway Authority

No evidence has been provided confirming these requirements. The proposal therefore raises serious concerns regarding the safety and deliverability of the proposed access arrangements.

Relevant policy:

- Emerging Local Plan Core Policy 34 (Transport)
- Emerging Local Plan Core Policy 26
- Uttlesford Local Plan GEN1

3. Impact on the Public Right of Way

The development could result in the enclosure of the existing public footpath, effectively turning it into a narrow-fenced corridor between residential development. At present, the footpath runs through woods, beside paddocks and fields and forms part of the local rural landscape. This setting is an important element of the footpath's value to residents and walkers.

There is concern that the proposed layout could place fenced garden boundaries either side of the route which passes this development, resulting in:

- Loss of its open rural character
- Reduced amenity for walkers
- A change from a countryside path to an enclosed passageway

The Little Hallingbury Neighbourhood Plan currently at Reg 16 stage has a clear policy on PROW's and LHNP Policy 5.16 states:

Development proposals that would significantly diminish the amenity value of a PROW – including its tranquillity, openness, or recreational function – will not normally be supported.

Particular care must be taken to avoid turning open footpaths into narrow fenced corridors; a buffer zone or landscaped margin will be provided to preserve the character of the route.

4. Conflict with Local Plan Design and Green Infrastructure Principles

The emerging Uttlesford Local Plan (2021–2041) emphasises that development should integrate existing Public Rights of Way into green infrastructure networks and enhance their value for recreation and sustainable movement.

Similarly, the Uttlesford Design Code and the Essex Design Guide promote high-quality place-making by:

- Retaining existing landscape features
- Incorporating footpaths into green corridors and open spaces
- Avoiding designs that confine routes between private boundaries

Public Rights of Way should form part of well-landscaped green infrastructure, supporting biodiversity, recreation and active travel.

Enclosing a historic rural footpath between residential fencing would be inconsistent with these design principles.

Application No.

UTT/26/0522/HHF

Site and Development

Forest Way, Goose Lane

Demolition of existing office and garage. Proposed new open two bay car port with side enclosed storage and room over

No objections.

Application No.
UTT/26/0734/FUL

Site and Development
Broadcroft, Sawbridgeworth Road, Little Hallingbury,
Essex, CM22 7QU

Conversion of existing garage to a detached self-contained dwellinghouse (C3) with associated improvements to the external elevations and replacement of windows and doors.

It is a new dwelling in Green Belt and in a non-sustainable location.

c) Enforcement

Planning enforcement investigation notice INV/26/0045/C was received and noted.

d) Late Planning Matters

Decision notification-UTT/25/1542/FUL was received and noted.

26/063. CONSULTATION

a) Essex Safer Speeds Strategy Consultation

The Council considered responding to the Essex Safer Speeds Strategy consultation. The view was that it will have no impact on our village. However, the Council still should respond as there are speeding issues e.g. inappropriateness of national speed on country lanes in the village. It was agreed that Cllr Brian Back drafts the response and submit it on behalf of the Council, copying clerk on the response.

26/064. HIGHWAYS MATTERS

a) Update

No update.

b) New Barn Lane Bus Stop

No update.

c) White Trees School Signs

No update.

d) VAS Sign

Not working.

e) Sawbridgeworth Road Surface

No update.

f) Goose Lane Shrubs

No update.

g) Footpaths

No update.

26/065. OPEN SPACES MAINTENANCE

a) Village Car Park

No update.

b) Gaston Green Pond

The tree has fallen down. Some tree work is needed in the future.

c) War Memorial

The required tree work completed. It was noticed that ivy started to climb on some tree trunks.

d) General Maintenance

No update.

26/066. LITTLE HALLINGBURY NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN (LHNP)

Cllr Val McKirdy reported that the Reg16 consultation took place between 19 February 2026 and 2 April 2026. She discussed it with UDC, and 19 responses were received, has currently being collated and will be passed on the Steering Group to review and formulate a response. Council have been asked to provide response to the feedback which will be given to independent examiner. UDC requested that affordable housing local connection criteria is aligned to theirs. The independent examiner will review the plan, comments received and Council's feedback and will produce a report which will recommend for the plan to proceed to the referendum with or without modifications. Following the examination and subject to the examiner's recommendations the plan will move forward to the local referendum which gives the residents opportunity to vote whether the LHNP should be brought into force.
The Council agreed to the steps described.

26/067. AFFORDABLE HOUSING

No progress. It was agreed that Cllr Val McKirdy talk to RCCE regarding this.

26/068. SPEED WATCH

Cllr Steve Gardiner advised there was a new recruit.
In February 2026 there were 8 sessions; 166 people reported, average 20 per session were speeding; 29 over 40mph; highest speed was 44mph.
No letters are sent to those who speed due to what they call 'out of force', a meeting with the Police & Fire Commissioner is set.

26/069. NEIGHBOURHOOD WATCH

Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard reported that there are 103 members and Police bulletins are a little bit erratic (not coming regularly).

26/070. FIRST RESPONDERS

Cllr Ciora Dillon reported that originally there were four volunteers but now there are two remaining and awaiting dates for training. She is trying to get official invoice raised by Eastern England Ambulance Charity to get clarification on exact amounts expected for the equipment etc.
Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard added that he was advised that this is a donation to charity. If by any reason Little Hallingbury do not have first responders, the Council's donation given does not benefit the village at all. Later, the Council will be asked to approve the release of monies to First Responders as and when, but it will be on condition that before they have any money from the council to basically justify and guarantee what that payment is going to be for.
Cllr Ciora Dillon said that four volunteers are needed for 24 – hour coverage, if there are only two volunteers Sawbridgeworth team will have to help cover our area.

26/071. NATIONAL TRUST

Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard proposed to negotiate the sum currently paid by National Trust. The Council was in favour of opening negotiation. Cllr Robert Wingard will set an informal meeting with National Trust's Property Operations Manager.
The clerk reported that outstanding payment is in progress.

26/072. DROP BOX

The clerk proposed to use free version of drop box for sharing the documents. Free version comes with its limits and is likely that the space will be limited so documents would be stored temporarily. The clerk will be in charge of it and all Councillors will have the same rights. A question was raised why not use the Google drive and it was advised that drop box is more user friendly. It was discussed what would be possible for Councillors: read only documents or be able to add them too. Cllr Robert Wingard insisted that all the documents are in drop box forever.
The clerk proposed to start with free version and then review.

26/073. IT (requested by Cllr Robart Wingard)

a) Data security

To review: Are we fully compliant with our own IT Policy; yes, the documents are saved on One Drive.

21:30 UDC Cllr Geof Driscoll left

b) Email

Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard asked each Councillor present whether they have any issues with the .gov.uk emails. Currently there are no issues. The clerk advised that if anyone have any issue, they should email her details / description so that she can raise the ticket.

26/074. FIRST RESPONDERS (requested by Cllr Robart Wingard)

To agree a resolution to release funds to First Responders as and when required.

Agreed by Councillors.

The clerk advised that monies will be available upon receipt of precept or release of funds from savings account.

26/075. VERGES (requested by Cllr Robart Wingard)

Chairman, Robert Wingard presented photos of some damaged verges in the village and some inexpensive solutions to cure this. The Council's view was that most of the verges in question belong to National Trust so therefore they should take action to protect them.

The bad state of pavements was mentioned.

The resolution was made to exclude the public from the meeting to progress staffing and personal matters.

26/076. STAFFING (requested by Cllr Robert Wingard)

The Job Specification for handyman which is actually litter picker was presented to the Councillors. The Council was exploring possibility to ask for more other jobs to be done. Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard is planning to meet with the handyman for discussion.

Public was invited to join the meeting.

26/077. INTERNET BANKING (requested by Cllr Robert Wingard)

The Council was advised that internet banking is already in place by Cllrs Robert Wingard and Val McKirdy. Clerk asked when that happened and when the Council decided that it would do internet banking with Barclays. To clerk's knowledge it was agreed that the Council will do so with Unity Bank.

26/078. FINANCE**a) Payments**

The following payments had been made during the period 14 January 2026 to 13 February 2026:

	£
UALC – Membership 2025 / 2026	5.00
St John' Ambulance – Donation 2025	200.00
Clerk – salary and expenses January 2026	932.43
Sutton's Hospital – rent for car park	100.00
HMRC – NICs January 2026	59.81
Clerk – reimbursement web hosting	59.98
Kevin Walker – Art work for LHNP	600.00
GPW – Tree work	460.00
Standon Post Office – Post Office services	4,396.90

The following payments had been made during the period 14 February 2026 to 13 March 2026:

	£
The Community Heartbeat Trust Ltd - torch	14.34
Clerk – reimbursement web domain	60.00
Govresources Ltd – LHNP phase 2	3,360.00
SLCC – Course	138.60
SLCC – Course	46.20
SLCC – Course	92.40
Clerk – salary and expenses February 2026	1,128.77
HMRC – NICs February 2026	175.13
DCK Payroll Solutions Ltd – Payroll admin	37.80
NEST – DD pension	375.63
All noted and agreed by Councillors.	

b) Income

GHPC – cancellation of the book	£149.40
EALC - Bursary	£219.75
Noted and agreed by Councillors.	

c) Balances on 13 January 2026

Community Acc.	£18,656.57
Business Premium Acc. (Reserves Acc.)	£14,325.32
Noted and agreed by Councillors.	

d) Bank Reconciliation

Bank reconciliation was received and approved by Councillors and signed by Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard.

e) Approvals

The payments were approved by Councillors and signed by Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard.

f) Budget Update

Received and agreed by Councillors.

g) Virement

It was agreed by Councillors to move £2,858.00 from Grass Cutting to Salaries (2025 / 2026).

h) Payroll & Pension

All applied and seemed to work.

i) Bank Arrangements (requested by Cllr Robert Wingard)

The clerk advised that she will open an account with Unity Bank as agreed as soon as practicable. There are some other priorities: mainly minutes and end of year accounts. Will report at the next meeting.

j) Insurance

Insurance policy proposed by insured was circulated to Councillors for review. Recommendations for amendments must be emailed to the clerk no later than Friday, 10 April 2026.

k) Late Finance Matters

Course

The expenditure £60.00 + VAT for clerk to attend 'Handling Difficult Situations' course delivered by CouncilWise was approved by Councillors.

26/079. MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS FOR INFORMATION AND NOTING

a) Items received in time for the agenda

- EALC – E bulletins; Training e-bulletins.
- NALC – Chief's executive bulletins;
- Uttlesford District Council, Planning and Building Control Registration Team - Weekly List of Planning Applications.
- Uttlesford District Council – links for agenda for Planning Committee 11 March and 08 April 2026; link for minutes for Planning Committee 11 March 2026.
- Sawbridgeworth Town Council, Sawbridgeworth Town Clerk - S,S,HW,HBO&HH Cross Border Meeting actions 2x;
- UDC - Webinars - understanding and using Biodiversity Net Gain, and National Lottery Community Fund for local environmental action 2x.

- RCCE, Community Engagement Officer - Removal of Neighbourhood Plan resources; NPPF consultation response- shortened for Parish and Town councils; NPPF Consultation.
 - RCCE, Administrator - Funding webinar 25.03.26 at 19.00; National Emergency Briefing.
 - Uttlesford District Council, Community Development Officer - Parish Forum Slides.
 - Uttlesford District Council, Communications Manager - Heating oil - support for vulnerable households.
 - London Stansted Airport, Community engagement Manager - London Stansted Airport Parish Council Forum.
 - Uttlesford District Council, Communications Manager - Government confirms decision on new unitary councils in Greater Essex.
 - The Sustainable Uttlesford Committee - National Lottery Community Fund slides.
 - Integrated Passenger Transport Unit, Local Bus Network Community Engagement Lead - Love Your Bus round 2 (2025 / 2026) grant fund results.
- All received and noted.

b) Late Items

- RCCE, Administrator - Essex Village of the Year & Rural Community Awards 2026.
- Uttlesford District Council – link for minutes for Planning Committee 08 April 2026.
- Thames Water - Inundation Query.
Cllr Brian Back will attend and Chairman, Cllr Robert Wingard will join if he can.
- EALC - Membership Update - April 2026.
- EALC, Chair - Welcome our new CEO.
- RCCE, Community Engagement Officer – Nature Recovery Action Planning event 2x.
- Integrated Passenger Transport Unit, Transport Officer - Outcome of Consultation: Supported Local Bus Services.

26/080. MATTERS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

None.

26/081. MEMBERS' REPORTS

Cllr John Brothers – raised concerns regarding tenants in The Rectory. Chairman, Cllr Rober Wingard to contact relevant person / persons.

Cllr Val McKirdy – there is 2nd part of CIL consultation. She explained what it is all about and suggested that the Council respond. She offered to draft the Council's response. It was agreed by Councillors for Cllr Val McKirdy to draft the response and submit it on behalf of the Council.

26/082. ITEMS FOR THE NEXT AGENDA AND INFORMATION

None.

26/083. NEXT MEETING

Chairman, Cllr Rober Wingard informed the council that the time for the next meeting will be changed to 19.00.

The Annual Parish Council meeting is scheduled to be held in Village Hall annexe 12 May 2026 starting at 19.00.

The meeting ended at 22.40

Signed.....
(Chairman)

Date.....